From:	Davis, Robert
To:	Uriel Pacheco
Subject:	RE: Panasonic BWC
Date:	Friday, November 30, 2018 1:52:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image003.png image004.png BWC Company Options.docx Implementing a Body-Worn Camera ProgramRecommendations and Lessons Learn....pdf BWC 2018.pdf Agencies.docx

---

Uriel,

Please see the doc's I've attached… The first one is the list of 3 BWC companies that the troops ended up voting on. The last attachment has the names of agencies we used as resources. The other two are from a BWC forum I attended and will have additional resources you can use as your agency moves through this process. Once we have a policy I can send you that one too.

This is what we considered for additional administrative staffing based on the numbers of cameras.

Option 1 Patrol Only : 550 cameras = 6 civilian staffing

Option 2 Patrol, Civil, RT, Airport Security Services Elk Grove Schools: 800 cameras = 7 civilian staffing

Option 3: Courts and Corrections Only 935 cameras = 7 civilian staffing

Option 4: Patrol, Courts Corrections 1735 cameras = 10 civilian staffing

Thank you,

Bobby

Captain R.L. Davis #32, Commander
Field Services-Centralized Investigations Division
Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
Office: (916) 874-4675

"Service with Concern"

From: Uriel Pacheco [mailto:upacheco@bakersfieldpd.us]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 7:19 AM
To: Davis, Robert <rdavis@sacsheriff.com>
Subject: Panasonic BWC

Good morning sir,

I appreciate you taking the time to answer my questions regarding the Panasonic BWC. Please feel free to send me any information you believe may assist our research project. Again, thank you for your time.

Uriel